

Public Comment Chart

Comment Number	Name or Organization	Attorney or Public Member?	Professional Affiliation	Position (A/AM/D/NP) ¹	Public Comment
1.	Adele Schneidereit	Attorney member		D	
2.	Javier Partida	Public member		AM	What batch of bar passers would the 18 month reporting requirement begun with? Date of amendment and 18 months ahead? Passers not yet 18 months in after the amendment passes? Only passers after the amendment?
3.	Anonymous 1	Attorney member		AM	After the 2nd extension, the Bar must carry out its duty to complete full background investigation thus insuring candidates continue to meet high standards for admission
4.	Edward Tabash	Attorney member		D	<p>If someone is of good moral character and passes all such initial inspections, why keep pestering them for updates? We already admitted lawyers in California don't have to update the Bar every 18 months to show that we have not committed any acts of moral turpitude since we were initially cleared to be admitted to the practice of law</p> <p>The State Bar failed for years to follow up on the problems with Tom Girardi. So, now, we lawyers have to pay for this with ore stringent trust account reporting requirements. We day-to-day California lawyers were not responsible for the Bar's failure to follow up on complaints and to look red flags. Yet, now we are stuck with more involved reporting requirements.</p> <p>Let's not keep on piling on ever increasing reporting requirements.</p>
5.	HELEN LE	Decline to state		NP	<p>OPEN HEARING before state bar Court OF CALIFORNIA</p> <p>Stop Discriminate and Violate my civil right before state bar Court Of California</p> <p>Please Contact FBI about act discriminate /fraud /Violate my human right and civil right before FEDERAL COURT/State bar Court of California</p>

¹ A = Agree with proposal; AM = Agree if modified; D = Disagree with proposal; NP = No position on proposal

Public Comment Chart

Comment Number	Name or Organization	Attorney or Public Member?	Professional Affiliation	Position (A/AM/D/NP) ¹	Public Comment
6.	Kate Shea	Public member		A	I filed a letter against foreman #283459 who tried for 4.5 years to take custody of my kids from me, filed for full ownership of property owned since 2003 in divorce; left my kids intentionally homeless for 90 days, on food stamps and they choose not to disclose to me they were living together. She takes my kids to Hawaii Thursday as I still wait for \$152,000 in bavg child support. They conned me out of 3 day trial in July 2023 with promise to put down \$600,000 as I still wait since 2019 for half my house to keep three teens housed and bar closed in case within days. I live in a town that does not deliver mail. The bar did nothing, appalling. Joseph Lopez is a criminal if you look up his divorce case it is a sham to take \$250,000 after having 63 prostitutes in a spreadsheet. \$4000 in women in one month should constitute a divorce. You did not need to allow these two to ruin the lives of three kids. He moved them an hour from me/where they grew up 2 months ago. Shameless.
7.	Anonymous 2	Public member		D	With all due respect and tolerance, what has become of us humans? There's a X and a Y chromosome. Enough really of this crap. Next thing we know we have to apologize for being heterosexual and a human with a brain.