PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

The program met my expectations.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	129	69.7%
Somewhat Agree	32	17.3%
Neutral	15	8.1%
Somewhat Disagree	5	2.7%
Strongly Disagree	4	2.2%

185 responses in 198 results

I was satisfied with the overall quality of this program.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	134	72.8%
Somewhat Agree	36	19.6%
Neutral	7	3.8%
Somewhat Disagree	2	1.1%
Strongly Disagree	5	2.7%

184 responses in 198 results

The program contains practical content relevant to me as a legal professional.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	123	67.2%
Somewhat Agree	31	16.9%
Neutral	19	10.4%
Somewhat Disagree	5	2.7%
Strongly Disagree	5	2.7%

183 responses in 198 results

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

The speakers were effective in delivering the material clearly and engagingly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	134	73.2%
Somewhat Agree	35	19.1%
Neutral	11	6%
Somewhat Disagree	1	0.5%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.1%

183 responses in 198 results

The online format of the program contributed to a positive learning experience.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	141	77%
Somewhat Agree	30	16.4%
Neutral	9	4.9%
Somewhat Disagree	1	0.5%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.1%

183 responses in 198 results

The resource materials provide helpful information.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	114	62%
Somewhat Agree	37	20.1%
Neutral	24	13%
Somewhat Disagree	4	2.2%
Strongly Disagree	5	2.7%

184 responses in 198 results

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

I would recommend this program to a colleague.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	122	67%
Somewhat Agree	38	20.9%
Neutral	15	8.2%
Somewhat Disagree	3	1.6%
Strongly Disagree	4	2.2%

182 responses in 198 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about your experience.

- The first 1 hour was entirely focused on a political situation which had no relevance to recent developments in ethics or PC. It was not helpful. I thought the program would go over recent Calif ethics cases and changes that are useful to CA attorneys. The panelist did not take questions and appeared to ignore the questions posed by the audience. The handout was useless. There was no information about the topics.
- This comment is for the Ethics Symposium overall: Thank you for providing this educational opportunity free of charge. It is invaluable for those in the legal professions to have the opportunity to refresh and remain current on this topic regardless of ability to pay. I hope this Symposium continues in the future. The quality of speakers for the panel was high caliber.
- I appreciated this MCLE. However, requiring me to sign in only via Chrome caused a delay i n doing so at my end. Again, thank you very much for having this discussion.
- I was shocked by how much time was spent discussing executive orders. I was also shocked by how little time was spent talkign about succession planning. There are plenty of of istuations were no successplanning or poor succession planning can cuase problems legal and otherwise for spouses or other attorneys that step in to help... it was entirely unexplored and looked at in a curosry sense. If an esttae planning attorney had been involved then it would have been more comprehsives.
- Seemed more like a forum for the Bar to present biased political views of the President's Executive Orders. Not relevant to my practice nor appropriate.
- The slides were helpful.
- Really good speakers and great information!

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

- This was a great opening session and provided very timely guidance for those of us practicing in this time. The speaker were each wonderfully prepared.
- Outstanding presentation of very timely ethical issues. Well done!
- A lot of very good information!
- really appreciated the discussion on the executive orders. As one of the panelist said, most citizens probably don't read these orders regularly, and it was interesting to see the intersection between these EOs and legal ethics.

  Also appreciated the discussion on civility.
- It was a tad hard to follow due to the dense nature of the topic.
- I appreciated the engaging format and the interchange of the panelists.
- Lots of technical details, a lot of which (unfortunately) went over my head. Exposed some important ethical issues, but also lots of practical issues.
- Definitely thought provoking discussion. The discussion raises many questions and considerations.
- One speaker seemed to be aggressively pushing an agenda consistent with his political beliefs, and crossed the line into suggesting things that are not accurate, such as suggesting that removing federal security clearance can result in barring people from walking into a federal courthouse -- that is not something the security guards stop the public to check for at the entrance of federal courthouses. So, that was a little nutty to suggest. It detracted from the credibility of the entire presentation, even when the conversation moved to other subjects. He also seemed to be trying to use this forum to peer pressure other attorneys into believing they had an affirmative ethical obligation to make substantive legal challenges to specific orders, consistent with his beliefs, even if the orders did not affect any of their clients. Again, this detracted from the credibility of the entire panel, and distracted learning on the topics that followed.
- Very much appreciated the attention to the highly charged topic of the Executive Orders
- The discussion of EOs was very interesting, but I would have liked to see a more direct ethics link earlier in that discussion.
- Very interesting and timely topics! Thank you!
- I thought it was amazingly topical. I liked it so much I sent it along to someone who knows several NC Supreme Court Justices and asked her to share it with them. One in particular, Allison Riggs, is being heavily picked on by partisan legal cases.

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

- Engaging discussion re: ethics in the administration's executive orders against firms. Great program on emerging ethics topics.
- The discussion of the executives orders and firm responses/ethics issues was very interesting. However, I am not clear how that is providing actionable advice for individual attorneys. I had hoped that would be more of the focus -- actionabl e advice and also what is on the horizon for new ethics concerns/issues confronting individual attorneys.
- I appreciated the explanation of the executive orders and why and how they were or were not challenged.
- Very informative. Great presentation
- Thank you for the panel on EOs. While I am disappointed that the Bar has not spoken out against them, I am glad the panel did not stay neutral.
- I would have appreciated a longer Q&A session regarding the EOs because that issue has lots of facets and incredibly impactful.
- The majority of the time was political and unrelated to most attorneys. The part about remote work and well-being is so much more important.
- Good information and really impressed with the organized aspect of the presentation.
- Excellent speakers and presentations. Very fascinating. But the EO discussion was not relevant to my practice.
- Fantastic discussion! I learned something from each member of the panel.
- Sine that materials are not provided until after the program, I would have to be neutral on the issues. There was some quoting of things that were not on the slideshow presentation so hopefully they will be in the materials. I liked both Cassidy and Daniel's presentations because it was focused on ethics and gave information. Brandon's could have been better. His presentation started on the premise that the EOs were bad and seems more political in nature than ethical questions. I would have appreciated it more if it was a discussion on what ethical issues were tied to the EOs and how we could review them. Daniel helped in that way when he asked questions that brought the discussion back to rules of ethics and why these orders are an issue. The conflict of interest when you have to consider what the federal government would think f the case and how that could create a conflict of interest. The presentation seemed to assume that everything was illegal and failed to diss the ethics aspects or cite to the ethical rules. Not to say he was not right, but I prefer to tie it back to the ethics.
- The first hour had no applicability to me. Interesting material, but just not relevant.

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

- All the panel speakers contributed uniquely to a deeper understanding of the ethical issues without being political.
- no material sent or received.
- I found the executive order section to be very informative. The remote portion was also very relevant. Very engaged and knowledgeable subject matter speakers.
- The first of the three sections of Panel 1 didn't feel as relevant to me. I wish more time was spent on the third topic which was something more relevant to me.
- I always read the Q and As because they can be informative, but all the questions asking the same question that had been repeatedly answered was a needless distraction
- I'm sorry to say that somehow this is the first of these in my decades as a CA attorney I have attended. So far this is "blow the doors off" amazing. Makes me sad I missed the others - and definitely WILL NOT miss the next ones :-)

  As a separate note, the comments on the Trump EOs actually makes me physically nauseated. My company is CZ based and I have had to read and explain the EOs that directly impact them, but had not actually heard/read the ones that were mentioned in this seminar. Absolutely *nauseating.* Thanks and no thanks....? ;-)
- interesting topics dealing with current topics
- The subjects chosen by the panel members were very interesting and helpful.
- The topics were timely, and the speakers were knowledgeable and engaging. Thank you.
- It would be great if we can have more information about succession
- The bulk of this session dealing with the Trump Administration executive orders did not really tie into "Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct". Sure there was some superficial related topics but I did not walk away with helpful knowledge. The segment towards the end about remote work was helpful but felt rushed. I would've like to have seen a little more info on succession planning, maybe a how to practical guide.
- We live in interesting times.
- Interesting topics.
- I am delighted to be updated about Trump administration's execution orders against law firms.
- There was a lot of information and insufficient time to cover the topics considered.

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

- Overall was an excellent panel; especially part 1 since it concerned current events that are affecting the heart of the legal profession and ethics.
- I appreciate the inclusion of current issues, the Executive Orders targeting large law firms, because it made the presentation interesting.
- The document links to the first panel didn't contain the actual slides, which would have been nice to have. Further, while I don't agree with how the current administration is handling big law firms with its executive orders, I do think that both sides need to be objectively analyzed - it seems the panel was very one-sided in how the orders served no good purpose. While that may be true, there could have been some commentary about how/why the current approach (prior administration) wasn't in the best interest of the public either by allowing big firms to engage in politics to a level that some might find concerning or even possibly inappropriate or illegal.
- Wonderful!, especially liked review of Executive Orders. Great timely content. Makes me proud to be a part of this profession.
- Excellent panel and so informative. I especially appreciated Cassidy Chivers' delivery and tone. Great session!
- The materials available for download did not appear to include the slides - just the bios and outlines and the rules. It would have been helpful to be able to download the more specific information available in the slides used during the session
- Brandon's portion especially was outstanding.
  thank you to all the panelists.
- The information about the Trump executive orders and memos was terrifying.
- None
- Interesting topics. Too bad there wasn't time for questions.
- Loved the discussion regarding the Trump EOs and also succession planning Those are things I never think about. Did this program advertise that discussion? I feel like those are compelling topics and would have boosted participation. Anyway, it was a nice surprise!
- Useful progrtam
- Great materials. Grounded speakers.
- Especially enjoyed the discussion about the executive orders against several law firms.
- Very interesting topic and engaging, knowledgeable speakers.

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

- Brandon Krueger was AMAZING!!! He touched on a sensitive subject with a very simple presentation and review of the ethical violations that may follow.
- It was great to learn about the political situation involving lawyers that I was not aware of.
- none

65 responses in 198 results

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

Cassidy Chivers

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Cassidy Chivers:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	125	75.3%
Somewhat Agree	27	16.3%
Neutral	10	6%
Somewhat Disagree	2	1.2%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.2%

166 responses in 181 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	133	79.6%
Somewhat Agree	19	11.4%
Neutral	13	7.8%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.2%

167 responses in 181 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	138	82.6%
Somewhat Agree	17	10.2%
Neutral	11	6.6%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.6%

167 responses in 181 results

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	127	77.4%
Somewhat Agree	21	12.8%
Neutral	12	7.3%
Somewhat Disagree	2	1.2%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.2%

164 responses in 181 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this speaker:

- Great work!
- This speaker seemed to be really curious. I liked her enthusiasm.
- there wasn't clear direction of where to locate materials or feedback (for mobile users)
- Insightful questions
- She served as moderator and a rocky start understanding the technology.
- a bit nasal
- I really enjoyed Brandon Kruger's section on EOs and Cassidy's questions, as they were questions I had myself.
- Great!
- She was very knowledgeable and asked alot of good questions from the other speakers/subjects.
- wow. just so, so amazing.
- I liked the questions asked by the speaker during the presentation.
- The speed and content are both just right .
- Thank you
- None
- This comment is meant as constructive criticism. Suggest you train yourself to avoid so many ums and ahs. Learn to silently pause for a second if needed instead of ums and ahs. I know it is difficult but with practice, you can accomplish this and become a much better public speaker.
- none

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

Daniel O'Rielly

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Daniel O'Rielly:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	131	79.4%
Somewhat Agree	28	17%
Neutral	6	3.6%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

165 responses in 181 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	138	83.6%
Somewhat Agree	21	12.7%
Neutral	6	3.6%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

165 responses in 181 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	140	84.3%
Somewhat Agree	17	10.2%
Neutral	9	5.4%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

166 responses in 181 results

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	132	82%
Somewhat Agree	20	12.4%
Neutral	8	5%
Somewhat Disagree	1	0.6%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

161 responses in 181 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this speaker:

- same as above
- You made some great points about attorneys have choices when they take on cases.
- Great!
- Very knowledgeable.
- ^should be an effective teacher (not "teachers"). yes obviously I'm a transactional attorney. ha ha.
- I liked the questions asked by the speaker.
- The speed and content are both just right .
- Good questions for other panelists.
- None
- Succession planning for firm practice is a very important topic and rarely addressed.
- none

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

Brandon Krueger

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Brandon Krueger:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	139	84.8%
Somewhat Agree	19	11.6%
Neutral	4	2.4%
Somewhat Disagree	1	0.6%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.6%

164 responses in 181 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	143	86.7%
Somewhat Agree	17	10.3%
Neutral	4	2.4%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.6%

165 responses in 181 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	143	87.2%
Somewhat Agree	15	9.1%
Neutral	5	3%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.6%

164 responses in 181 results

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	133	83.1%
Somewhat Agree	16	10%
Neutral	8	5%
Somewhat Disagree	2	1.2%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.6%

160 responses in 181 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this speaker:

- Thank You to all who gave their time and talent to provide this valuable educational opportunity!
- Great to see this level of committment!
- As usual, Brandon was extremely engaging and knowledgeable about the subject
- same as above
- Brandon - thank you so much for your extensive review and coverage of the Executive Orders. Much needed and appreciated!
- I really enjoyed Brandon Kruger's section on EOs and Cassidy's questions, as they were questions I had myself.
- Absolutely fascinating. I really appreciated Mr. Kruger's thoughtfulness.
- Great!
- Timely information, clearly presented
- The class did not tie things back to ethics unless there was questions from the other speakers. It seemed a bit more political but did not share how the Orders would violate the rules of ethics. It could be due to the time constraints though. We had to already have information in order to follow this portion of the class.
- Very informative session.
- I found the subject matter very interesting.
- Spell check on slides and this form.
- Attorney Krueger was very informative and engaging. Great seminar!
- The speed and content are both just right .
- Fantastic

PANEL 1: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct—What You Need to Know

- Truly outstanding presentation by Brandon and vital topic.
- None
- I was impressed with his detailed research. He knew the answers to a lot of questions!
- This comment is meant as constructive criticism. Although not as big an issue as with many speakers, suggest concentrating on learning to silently pause for a second if needed instead of filling space with ums and ahs. Otherwise, I found this portion of the program to be interesting and informative and the subject matter was engaging. It probably helped that I am also highly critical and concerned about the executive branch's activities!
- none

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

The program met my expectations.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	71	67%
Somewhat Agree	22	20.8%
Neutral	10	9.4%
Somewhat Disagree	2	1.9%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.9%

106 responses in 109 results

I was satisfied with the overall quality of this program.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	71	67%
Somewhat Agree	24	22.6%
Neutral	9	8.5%
Somewhat Disagree	2	1.9%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

106 responses in 109 results

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

The program contains practical content relevant to me as a legal professional.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	77	72.6%
Somewhat Agree	19	17.9%
Neutral	10	9.4%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

106 responses in 109 results

The speakers were effective in delivering the material clearly and engagingly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	77	72.6%
Somewhat Agree	17	16%
Neutral	11	10.4%
Somewhat Disagree	1	0.9%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

106 responses in 109 results

The online format of the program contributed to a positive learning experience.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	72	68.6%
Somewhat Agree	18	17.1%
Neutral	13	12.4%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

105 responses in 109 results

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

The resource materials provide helpful information.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	65	61.9%
Somewhat Agree	15	14.3%
Neutral	23	21.9%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

105 responses in 109 results

I would recommend this program to a colleague.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	70	66.7%
Somewhat Agree	18	17.1%
Neutral	15	14.3%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

105 responses in 109 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about your experience.

- Harvey speaker was knowledgeable, but seemed to be pitching more than teaching.
- I appreciated this MCLE. However, requiring me to sign in only via Chrome caused a delay i n doing so at my end. Again, thank you very much for having this discussion.
- facing these issues EVERY DAY. I am a lawyer in a CZ software company with about 20 lawyers. We not only are facing these issues in what our clients are asking of US (since we actually do incorporate AI in our products), but in what we are asking of our vendors.

  I never thought of someone "scraping" my voice from voicemail - going to change that! :-O
- AI has no impact on my practice or me.

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

- Wish speakers would train themselves NOT to fill their presentations with ums and ahs; a silent pause for a second, if needed, makes for a much better speaker than constant ums and ahs.
- With the addition of an AI vendor, a number of questions/examples were based on that one vendor experience, would have appreciated having a vendor neutral panel.
- AI is a hot topic in the legal arena and the discussion was very timely
- none
- I felt like there were too many panelists on this one.
- Actually show an example for beginners using AI please.
- The speakers spoke clearly, and I found it easy to follow along with what they were saying.
- 1. Raquel helped by restating in layman's terms some key points of the discussion. Great co-panelist leader!
  2. The Mexican attorney spoke very quickly. Especially since English isn't his language, I wished he'd been slower and more deliberate in his speeaking. I'd like to have understood him more. He's young and I want to know what's on the minds of young professionals.
  3. I appreciated Monique and Lori's seasoned consel. Like to hear more from both of them. Great panelists.
  4. Naim is very knowledgeable and thoughtful.
- The level of expertise and communication clarity of the panelists was impressive, so it was disappointing that the format of the session (more like a Q&A than a more structured session with each panelist speaking on a clearly defined topic area) did not showcase panelists' knowledge in a more organized manner (eg, responses to the interesting question posed near the end regarding challenging issues and resolutions faced by the panelists). Would definitely like to learn more from members of the panel.
- The list of topics to address in a policy were particularly helpful.
- I expected to hear moreabout how AI applies, what it doies, before facing the theioca content.
- I think the discussion was a little disjointed and repetitive. I think it was helpful though in a vague overview of how it can be used and ethical considerations of how it is a tool rather than a substitute for an attorney.

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

- Awesome session! I would love to receive more training on this topic from the Bard.
- It would have really helped me (being totally selfish) to have a more basic understanding of AI before delving into the issues surrounding AI as the discussion almost assumed everyone was familiar with the various AI tools. I am not one of them.
- Some good discussions of ethical questions, but complicated by some of the practical issues involved in the use of AI.
- Very informative
- Lot of good comments -- I appreciate the ways the speakers found different ways of explaining generative AI requiring A LOT of caution before incorporating because it is, fundamentally, not trustworthy at this stage (and may never be since it's glorified autocorrect)
- It should have been clear that this was covering generative AI only
- Great idea to have a discussion with a variety of perspectives.
- great discussions for AI in the legal profession
- The introduction of Harvey AI may be handy, even though one complained it sounded like sales pitch. The issue of client's consent of AI is well put up. Thein-house AI policies provided by Lori are helpful.
- Great seminar. Valuable information
- Good discussion. I didn't know about Harvey. I've done a lot of AI CLEs as they are the hot topic these days and the panel participants raised some unique issues I didn't know about.
- Very informative and thought provoking.
- I was expecting to learn more about how to use AI and not only general discussion. This was left to the 1 minutes at the end of the presentation
- I have no idea where the materials were...I did find the panel materials, but it was limited and found it on my own.
- sounded like a sales pitch for harvey ai program.

Raquel Brigham Brown

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Raquel Brigham Brown:

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	79	77.5%
Somewhat Agree	15	14.7%
Neutral	8	7.8%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

102 responses in 107 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	78	76.5%
Somewhat Agree	16	15.7%
Neutral	8	7.8%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

102 responses in 107 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	82	79.6%
Somewhat Agree	14	13.6%
Neutral	6	5.8%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

103 responses in 107 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage

Strongly Agree	80	79.2%
Somewhat Agree	13	12.9%
Neutral	8	7.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

101 responses in 107 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this speaker:

- none
- Great leader. Rephrased a lot of the techie terms so us laymen could understand what was being discussed.
  Would love to see her back on another panel. Seems very professional and wise.
- looked angryl sometimes spoke over my head
- Very clear and helpful.

Nima Mohebbi
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Nima Mohebbi:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	79	77.5%
Somewhat Agree	16	15.7%
Neutral	7	6.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

102 responses in 107 results

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	80	77.7%
Somewhat Agree	12	11.7%
Neutral	11	10.7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

103 responses in 107 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	77	74.8%
Somewhat Agree	15	14.6%
Neutral	8	7.8%
Somewhat Disagree	3	2.9%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

103 responses in 107 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	78	77.2%
Somewhat Agree	11	10.9%
Neutral	10	9.9%
Somewhat Disagree	2	2%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

101 responses in 107 results

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- had some trouble with Nima's feed. It cleared up, but at the end.
- There were audio issues that impacted the clarity for attendees.
- very useful
- Very clear and helpful. I really appreciate his plain-spoken explanation. I could easily listen to him for another hour.
- Audio often intermittent and garbled - technical issues
- Handled the panel well.

Monique Brown
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Monique N. Brown:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	80	80%
Somewhat Agree	13	13%
Neutral	7	7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 107 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	82.2%
Somewhat Agree	10	9.9%
Neutral	7	6.9%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

101 responses in 107 results

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	81	80.2%
Somewhat Agree	13	12.9%
Neutral	7	6.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

101 responses in 107 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	80	80%
Somewhat Agree	11	11%
Neutral	9	9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 107 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- Very knowledgeable. Would love to have her back on another panel.
- Very clear and helpful.
- very good

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

Juan Pablo Sandoval

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Juan Pablo Sandoval:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	80	80%
Somewhat Agree	11	11%
Neutral	9	9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 107 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	81	80.2%
Somewhat Agree	13	12.9%
Neutral	7	6.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

101 responses in 107 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	80	79.2%
Somewhat Agree	12	11.9%
Neutral	8	7.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

101 responses in 107 results

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	80	80%
Somewhat Agree	11	11%
Neutral	9	9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 107 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- Liked him the best :-)
- Could barely understand him. He speaks very quickly. Very knowledgeable.
- Very clear and helpful.
- Good to obtain examples from him regarding issues experienced from his customers.

Lori Knowles
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Lori Knowles:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	82.2%
Somewhat Agree	12	11.9%
Neutral	6	5.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

101 responses in 107 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	84	82.4%
Somewhat Agree	10	9.8%
Neutral	7	6.9%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

102 responses in 107 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	84	82.4%
Somewhat Agree	11	10.8%
Neutral	7	6.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

102 responses in 107 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	82	81.2%
Somewhat Agree	10	9.9%
Neutral	9	8.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

101 responses in 107 results

PANEL 2: Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in the Practice of Law

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- Thanks, prior click provided an older form, so I have now opened and completed the correct one.
- Gave great tips on how she protects herself against AI and ID theft. Would love to have her back on another panel.
- This program would be helpful for large law firms but not solo or small firm attorneys or public interest/government.
- Very clear and helpful. Brilliant attorney and fascinating examples. I could easily listen to her for another hour.
- Helpful to hear what her firm does since they have already implemented.

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

The program met my expectations.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	63	59.4%
Somewhat Agree	19	17.9%
Neutral	19	17.9%
Somewhat Disagree	4	3.8%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.9%

106 responses in 110 results

I was satisfied with the overall quality of this program.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	63	60.6%
Somewhat Agree	22	21.2%
Neutral	18	17.3%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

104 responses in 110 results

The program contains practical content relevant to me as a legal professional.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	62	58.5%
Somewhat Agree	19	17.9%
Neutral	17	16%
Somewhat Disagree	5	4.7%
Strongly Disagree	3	2.8%

106 responses in 110 results

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

The speakers were effective in delivering the material clearly and engagingly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	70	66%
Somewhat Agree	19	17.9%
Neutral	15	14.2%
Somewhat Disagree	1	0.9%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.9%

106 responses in 110 results

The online format of the program contributed to a positive learning experience.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	64	60.4%
Somewhat Agree	17	16%
Neutral	20	18.9%
Somewhat Disagree	3	2.8%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.9%

106 responses in 110 results

The resource materials provide helpful information.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	59	55.7%
Somewhat Agree	18	17%
Neutral	24	22.6%
Somewhat Disagree	3	2.8%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.9%

106 responses in 110 results

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

I would recommend this program to a colleague.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	61	58.1%
Somewhat Agree	17	16.2%
Neutral	21	20%
Somewhat Disagree	4	3.8%
Strongly Disagree	2	1.9%

105 responses in 110 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about your experience.

- The slides helped me to follow along with what the speakers were discussing.
- The speakers were all knowledgeable. This area was not applicable to my current practice.
- Happy to see the bar talk about current issues. Nice job
- I appreciated this MCLE. However, requiring me to sign in only via Chrome caused a delay i n doing so at my end. Again, thank you very much for having this discussion.
- very useful
- BORING! As the speakers admitted, the OCG guidelines are more contractual, than anything. I thought this was an ethics seminar???
- A sample agreement would have been helpful. I spent half the time looking for one to put everything into context. Also, not having the slides in the materials doesn't help folks with visual issues.
- This was not relevant to my area practice, but if it were, I'm sure I would have rated it higher.
- THis was the worst zoom experience I have ever had. I was never sent links when I registered and somehow managed to get into the program anyway. It kept redirecting me to "join" then registering over and over and would not go to the programs, so I missed 20 minutes of the first session. The link sent on the screen after the 3d session would not open to get the certificate; only the one sent in the "chat" section allowed me to. I never received links when registering. I don't know if my eval for the second session ever went thru as there's no verification of that> I was not able to

complete the MCLE credit and eval. for the second session because it disappeared too soon, although I attended the entire session.

- no slides for most of seminar
- I was completely lost. This program did not inform anyone about what an OCG is, nor what the specific ethical issues are in regards to OCG's. In addition, the repeated references to AI (without any foundation did not assist).
- Great seminar
- Learned a lot about these previously unknown areas of law.
- Interesting but not my practice area. Also, the speakers assumed the audience had knowledge of the OCG. I wonder if they could have given an overview of how/why they work, and have evolved, and how might they apply outside the large corp context. we can always learn to apply concepts if presented broadly as well.
- I'm a sole practitioner and nothing was of any interest to me. This suggest is for attorneys at large law firms.
- this is not an area that we really engage with - I am inside counsel in a CZ software company (we have about 20 lawyers on staff), but it was really interesting to hear in any case. :-) Re the "online format" being positive - well, the "slide thing" was an issue :-)
- not a subject I am engaged with; waay too complicated
- The topic was irrelevant to my practice.
- Even though some of the documents are multiple pages, it would have been nice to see sample language that was ethically concerning rather than broadstrokes.
- Helpful with this presentation to have the slides to keep everyone on task and on time.
- no material provided.

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

William Munoz

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding William Munoz:

The speaker was an effective teacher.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	67	67%
Somewhat Agree	18	18%
Neutral	14	14%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

100 responses in 107 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	75	76.5%
Somewhat Agree	11	11.2%
Neutral	11	11.2%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

98 responses in 107 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	76	77.6%
Somewhat Agree	10	10.2%
Neutral	11	11.2%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

98 responses in 107 results

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	71	73.2%
Somewhat Agree	9	9.3%
Neutral	16	16.5%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

97 responses in 107 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this speaker:

I think Kerri was a bit stronger as co-moderator in managing time and having command of the topics.

Kerri Riley

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Kerri Riley:

The speaker was an effective teacher.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	67	68.4%
Somewhat Agree	18	18.4%
Neutral	12	12.2%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

98 responses in 107 results

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	72	73.5%
Somewhat Agree	14	14.3%
Neutral	11	11.2%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

98 responses in 107 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	73	76%
Somewhat Agree	13	13.5%
Neutral	9	9.4%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

96 responses in 107 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	69	70.4%
Somewhat Agree	14	14.3%
Neutral	14	14.3%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

98 responses in 107 results

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- This speaker kept the discussion flowing. She helped to move the discussion along.
- Good leader. Kept session on track.
- Kerri was really good in being aware of the time and modifying accordingly when she was seeing they were running a bit behind.

Mike Guernon
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Mike Guernon:

The speaker was an effective teacher.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	66	69.5%
Somewhat Agree	13	13.7%
Neutral	13	13.7%
Somewhat Disagree	2	2.1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

95 responses in 107 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	72	75.8%
Somewhat Agree	12	12.6%
Neutral	9	9.5%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1.1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

95 responses in 107 results

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	71	74.7%
Somewhat Agree	12	12.6%
Neutral	10	10.5%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1.1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

95 responses in 107 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	69	75%
Somewhat Agree	8	8.7%
Neutral	13	14.1%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1.1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

92 responses in 107 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- Didn't like this speaker much
- Very knowledgeable.
- Mr. Guernon made interesting observations on principles that i can apply in my practice.
- Extremely strong speaker since he was very knowledgeable on the subject matter.

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

Neil Wertlieb

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Neil Wertlieb:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	66	71.7%
Somewhat Agree	14	15.2%
Neutral	10	10.9%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1.1%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

92 responses in 107 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	71	76.3%
Somewhat Agree	12	12.9%
Neutral	9	9.7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

93 responses in 107 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	70	76.1%
Somewhat Agree	13	14.1%
Neutral	8	8.7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

92 responses in 107 results

PANEL 3: Blazing a New Trail in the Wild West of Outside Counsel Guidelines

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	61	70.1%
Somewhat Agree	12	13.8%
Neutral	13	14.9%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.1%

87 responses in 107 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- Happy curiously to learn something from an Adjunct Professor teaching transactional law at UCLA and UC Berkeley Law Schools and a Senior Advisor at Harvard Law
  School Executive Education.
- Very knowledgeable and down-to-earth.
- this was a great grouping! I am exceptionally impressed with the speakers at this Symposium.
- Seminar was very informative- thank you. Re technology- I did get kicked out if the zoom program but was able to log back in less than one minute.
- Strong speaker because he was very knowledgeable and had real life experience.
- The speakers tried hard, but assumed a lot of firm resources were needed to ensure the proper evaluations of Outside Counsel Guidelines (e.g., people from the law firm's finance, ethics, management, etc. divisions). This is not as helpful to smaller firms without such large firm resources. It might have been helpful to get a small firm perspective on how to do what was suggested, without having a massive amount of staff to do it with.
- Always great things to say. Thank you for your commitment to Ethics!

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

The program met my expectations.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	83%
Somewhat Agree	10	10%
Neutral	6	6%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 103 results

I was satisfied with the overall quality of this program.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	83%
Somewhat Agree	10	10%
Neutral	7	7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 103 results

The program contains practical content relevant to me as a legal professional.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	78	78%
Somewhat Agree	11	11%
Neutral	10	10%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 103 results

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

The speakers were effective in delivering the material clearly and engagingly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	83%
Somewhat Agree	11	11%
Neutral	6	6%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 103 results

The online format of the program contributed to a positive learning experience.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	83%
Somewhat Agree	10	10%
Neutral	7	7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

100 responses in 103 results

The resource materials provide helpful information.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	79	79.8%
Somewhat Agree	8	8.1%
Neutral	11	11.1%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1%

99 responses in 103 results

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

I would recommend this program to a colleague.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	79	79.8%
Somewhat Agree	13	13.1%
Neutral	7	7.1%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

99 responses in 103 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about your experience.

- I am not much interested in judicial security, but the presentation was informative. I agree with the implied criticism of political influence in the judiciary.
- I liked how the panel's discussion included background information, facts, and statistics in addition to a discussion of the law.
- I try to read all the Q and As because there are often answers given that are informative, and the questions themselves can be informative. Extremely long questions and statements presented as questions create a distraction to the speakers' conten, though. Multi-tasking skills suit concise questions better.
- We need more than identifying the problems; it would have been helpful to have more concrete solutions.
- I attend this ethics symposium every year. I like the way the content changes. It makes it very interesting.
- Most important module of all
- This is the most intensive session amongst the four, but very intellectually engaging, and serves as a good reminder/reflection about our lawyer's conduct/right of expression criticizing the judiciary. Learning about the CJP for the first time, I indeed feel the system is different, at least from Canada because the CJP is more transparent. Happy to learn about proposed amendments to the rules. Like another attendee said, Judge Yew is a class act. Thank you. Delighted to meet her again online.
- Great discussion. Great speakers. Thank you!!

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

- Sorry I'm only responding formally to one panel. Same ratings for all. It just took me a while to find the link.
- This was a great discussion that contained a lot of helpful and thought provoking ethical discussions.
- I have zero contact with judges/the judiciary but this was SO interesting!
- I lament that this course is necessary, but I very much appreciate the State Bar's leadership on protecting our judges.
- Excellent content and learned a lot! Thank you!
- Finally a State Bar ethics webinar that discussed timely interesting and important topics! Great job!!!
- Judge Yew was fantastic!
- I resigned as a Temporary Family Law Judge @ Sacramento because I wanted to be free to exercise my First Amendment rights in a more political manner than I believed I could!! (My chance to be a real judge was sabotaged by Republican judges.) I reported it & I am proud of all my friend, Judge Mize did for our court!!!
- It is clear the Judges are most judicious in their approach to both the Bar and Bench. It is appreciated. It is also clear they are exercising significant restraint in their comments regarding the current arrest of a fellow Judicial Officer. I feel for them.
- None
- Judge Yew and Judge Fogel were outstanding! As were our moderators. Thank you for an excellent panel!
- Some of the stories shared just made me sad but hopefully civility and fairness can be restored in our profession.
- Excellent panel.
- loved to hear the judge's point of view and respect their views very much
- The two judges were extremely knowledgeable and subject matter experts. Well done.
- Very informative, thank you.
- I appreciated this MCLE. However, requiring me to sign in only via Chrome caused a delay i n doing so at my end. Again, thank you very much for having this discussion.

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

- no materials provided.
- I was expecting more guidance in about how to use AI rather than just a debate about AI

Cassidy Chivers
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Cassidy Chivers:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	75	78.1%
Somewhat Agree	12	12.5%
Neutral	7	7.3%
Somewhat Disagree	2	2.1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

96 responses in 101 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	77	81.1%
Somewhat Agree	9	9.5%
Neutral	9	9.5%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

95 responses in 101 results

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	79	83.2%
Somewhat Agree	7	7.4%
Neutral	9	9.5%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

95 responses in 101 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	77	81.1%
Somewhat Agree	9	9.5%
Neutral	9	9.5%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

95 responses in 101 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this speaker:

- Survey doesn't seem tailored to moderators.
- Sometimes she interrupts (not intentionally) to ask a question and cutting off a comment from the speaker and can be a bit disruptive especially in the first session. I know not being done intentionally.
- Great speaking and content. Thank you!

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

Vikita Poindexter
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Vikita Poindexter:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	73	77.7%
Somewhat Agree	10	10.6%
Neutral	11	11.7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

94 responses in 101 results

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	74	78.7%
Somewhat Agree	9	9.6%
Neutral	11	11.7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

94 responses in 101 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	74	78.7%
Somewhat Agree	11	11.7%
Neutral	9	9.6%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

94 responses in 101 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

Strongly Agree	73	78.5%
Somewhat Agree	10	10.8%
Neutral	10	10.8%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

93 responses in 101 results

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- Survey doesn't seem tailored to moderators.
- spoke SUPER FAST. Difficult to follow.

Judge Jeremy Fogel
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Judge Jeremy Fogel:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	82	88.2%
Somewhat Agree	7	7.5%
Neutral	3	3.2%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1.1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

93 responses in 101 results

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	89.2%
Somewhat Agree	6	6.5%
Neutral	4	4.3%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

93 responses in 101 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	82	88.2%
Somewhat Agree	6	6.5%
Neutral	5	5.4%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

93 responses in 101 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	80	87%
Somewhat Agree	6	6.5%
Neutral	6	6.5%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

92 responses in 101 results

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- I liked how Judge Fogel talked about his experiences.
- perfect panelist
- I really enjoyed Judge Fogel's perspective and insightful thoughts.
- wow what a great speaker.
- Excellent!!
- Awesome
- Appreciate your experience.
- Great presentation and perspective, thank you
- AMAZING!
- Excellent ! Thank you for your involvement and Commitment to Ethics!

Judge Erica R. Yew
Please indicate your agreement with the following statements regarding Judge Erica R. Yew:

The speaker was an effective teachers.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	85	90.4%
Somewhat Agree	7	7.4%
Neutral	1	1.1%
Somewhat Disagree	1	1.1%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

94 responses in 101 results

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

The speaker had current knowledge of their subject.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	86	91.5%
Somewhat Agree	6	6.4%
Neutral	2	2.1%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

94 responses in 101 results

The speaker spoke clearly.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	85	90.4%
Somewhat Agree	6	6.4%
Neutral	3	3.2%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

94 responses in 101 results

The speaker managed class time well.

Label	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	83	89.2%
Somewhat Agree	6	6.5%
Neutral	4	4.3%
Somewhat Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

93 responses in 101 results

PANEL 4: Securing the Bench: Protecting Judges Amid Escalating Threats

Please share any additional comments or feedback about this panel:

- Judge Yew was very knowledgeable.
- Excellent personalization of what is happening.
- She was very active in answering questions. Thank you.
- wow, what an amazing speaker!
- Excellent!!
- Great people
- Wonderful judge!!!
- Judge Yew is an outstanding advocate for the legal profession.
- Judge Yew was particularly fantastic.
- So invaluable!
- Excellent insights, thank you
- EXTREMELY COMPETENT. SHE IS SO KNOWLEDGEABLE.
- The title was misleading. Some good points were made about how to educate the public to further trust in the judiciary, that may or may not relate to threats against judges. A broader title would be better.
- Excellent ! Thank you for your involvement and Commitment to Ethics!